



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/755,991	01/05/2001	James E. Flowers	CSAY-0020	4733
7:	590 10/01/2002			
David H. Hitt Hitt Gaines & Boisbrun, P.C. P.O. Box 832570			EXAMINER	
			CUEVAS, PEDRO J	
Richardson, TX 75083			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, ·	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/755,991	FLOWERS, JAMES E.
Ť	Examiner	Art Unit
	Pedro J. Cuevas	2834
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appe	ars on the c ver sheet with the c	orrespondenc address
THE REPLY FILED 09 September 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	=	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I: ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·
10. Other:		2/
	SUF	NESTOR RAMIREZ PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the examiner belives that the USC § 103 rejection combining Takado, Itaka et al. and Filipov et al. is proper and reads on the pending claims.